#### AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

This listing of claims replaces all prior versions and listings of claims in the application arm and entire re-

#### Listing of Claims

- 1. (Canceled)
- 2. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 40, wherein the first set of rules causes the transmitting peer entity to send the polling request when a last Protocol Data Unit (PDU) in a transmission buffer is transmitted.
- 3. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 40, wherein the first set of rules causes the transmitting peer entity to send the polling request when the status report has not been received by the transmitting peer entity and a polling timer has timed out.
- 4. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 40, wherein the first set of rules causes the transmitting peer entity to send the polling request when the transmitting peer entity has transmitted a predefined number of Protocol Data Units (PDUs).
- 5. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 40, wherein the first set of rules causes the transmitting peer entity to send the polling request when the transmitting peer entity has transmitted a predefined number of Service Data Units (SDUs).
- 6. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 40, wherein the first set of rules causes the transmitting peer entity to send the polling request when the transmitting peer entity has transmitted during a predefined portion of a transmitting window.

Amendment - PAGE 2 of 11 EUS/J/P/05-9024

- 7. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 40, wherein the first set of rules causes the transmitting peer entity to send the polling request when transmitting peer entity has transmitted during a predefined period of time.
- 8. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 40, wherein the first set of rules causes the transmitting peer entity to defer sending the polling request for a predefined period of time.
- 9. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 40, further comprising adjusting by the transmitting peer entity, a transmission window parameter in response to receiving the status report.
- 10. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 40, further comprising retransmitting by the transmitting peer entity, at least one Protocol Data Unit (PDU) responsive to receiving said status report.
- 11. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 40, further comprising the steps of:

determining by the transmitting peer entity whether the status report sent by the receiving peer entity is plausible; and

retransmitting by the transmitting peer entity, at least one Protocol Data Unit (PDU) in response to determining that the status report is plausible.

12. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 40, wherein the second set of rules causes the receiving peer entity to transmit the status report to the transmitting peer entity if an estimated Protocol Data Unit (PDU) counter is not counting, and causes the receiving peer entity to not send the status report to the transmitting peer entity if the estimated PDU counter is counting.

rarba

Attorney Docket No. P11899-US2 Customer Number 27045

- 13. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 40, wherein the second set of rules causes the receiving peer entity to transmit the status report to-the transmitting peer entity, if the receiving peer entity detects at least one missing or incorrectly received Protocol Data Unit (PDU).
- 14. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 40, wherein the second set of rules causes the receiving peer entity to transmit the status report to the transmitting peer entity when a predefined number of Protocol Data Units (PDUs) is received.
- 15. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 40, wherein the second set of rules causes the receiving peer entity to transmit the status report to the transmitting peer entity when a predefined number of Service Data Units (SDUs) is received.
- 16. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 40, wherein the second set of rules causes the receiving peer entity to transmit the status report to the transmitting peer entity in response to receiving the polling request.
- 17. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 40, wherein the second set of rules causes the receiving peer entity to transmit the status report to the transmitting peer entity when the transmitting peer entity has transmitted during a predefined portion of a transmitting window.
- 18. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 40, wherein the second set of rules causes the receiving peer entity to send the status report to the transmitting peer entity during a predefined period of time.
- 19. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 40, wherein the second set of rules causes the receiving peer entity to defer sending the status report for a predefined period of time.
  - 20. (Canceled)

Amendment - PAGE 4 of 11 EUS/J/P/05-9024

- 21. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 42, wherein the signaling means in the transmitting peer entity sends the polling request when a last Protocolered Data Unit (PDU) in a transmission buffer is transmitted.
- 22. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 42, wherein the signaling means in the transmitting peer entity sends the polling request when the status report has not been received by the transmitting peer entity and a polling timer has timed out.
- 23. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 42, wherein the signaling means in the transmitting peer entity sends the polling request when the transmitting peer entity has transmitted a predefined number of Protocol Data Units (PDUs).
- 24. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 42, wherein the signaling means in the transmitting peer entity sends the polling request when the transmitting peer entity has transmitted a predefined number of Service Data Units (SDUs).
- 25. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 42, wherein the signaling means in the transmitting peer entity sends the polling request when the transmitting peer entity has transmitted during a predefined portion of a transmitting window.
- 26. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 42, wherein the signaling means in the transmitting peer entity sends the polling request when the transmitting peer entity has transmitted during a predefined period of time.
- 27. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 42, wherein the signaling means in the transmitting peer entity defers sending the polling request for a predefined period of time.

ig to fei obtain

Attorney Docket No. P11899-US2 Customer Number 27045

- 28. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 42, wherein the transmitting peer entity also includes means for adjusting a transmission window parameterains or response to receiving the status report.
- 29. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 42, wherein the transmitting peer entity also includes means for retransmitting at least one Protocol Data Unit (PDU) in response to receiving the status report.
- 30. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 42, wherein the transmitting peer entity also includes:

means for determining whether the status report sent by the receiving peer entity is plausible; and

means for retransmitting at least one Protocol Data Unit (PDÜ) in response to determining that the status report is plausible.

- 31. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 42, wherein the signaling means in the receiving peer entity sends the status report to the transmitting peer entity if an estimated Protocol Data Unit (PDU) counter is not counting, and does not send the status report to the transmitting peer entity if the estimated PDU counter is counting.
- 32. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 42, wherein the signaling means in the receiving peer entity sends the status report to the transmitting peer entity if the receiving peer entity detects at least one missing or incorrectly received Protocol Data Unit (PDU).
- 33. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 42, wherein the signaling means in the receiving peer entity sends the status report to the transmitting peer entity when a predefined number of Protocol Data Units (PDUs) is received.

B. Mittel galle

**第四周 正 第**5

Attorney Docket No. P11899-US2 Customer Number 27045

- 34. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 42, wherein the signaling of the victor means in the receiving peer entity sends the status report to the transmitting peer entity entry when a predefined number of Service Data Units (SDUs) is received.
- 35. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 42, wherein the signaling means in the receiving peer entity sends the status report to the transmitting peer entity when the transmitting peer entity has transmitted during a predefined portion of a transmitting window.
- 36. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 42, wherein the signaling means in the receiving peer entity sends the status report during a predefined period of time.
- 37. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 42, wherein the signaling means in the receiving peer entity defers sending the status report for a predefined period of time.

#### 38-39. (Canceled)

40. (Previously Presented) In a communication system, a method of implementing a flexible link-layer protocol that enables transmission of data between a link-layer transmitting peer entity and a link-layer receiving peer entity, wherein the transmitting peer entity transmits a plurality of packet data units (PDUs) to the receiving peer entity, said method comprising the steps of:

determining by the transmitting peer entity, a first configurable set of rules governing whether the transmitting peer entity should send polling requests to the receiving peer entity, and if so, how and/or how often the polling requests should be sent;

upon determining that the transmitting peer entity should send polling requests to the receiving peer entity, sending polling requests from the transmitting peer entity to the receiving peer entity in accordance with the first configurable set of rules;

Amendment - PAGE 7 of 11 EUS/J/P/05-9024

determining by the receiving peer entity, a second configurable set of rules governing whether the receiving peer entity should send status reports transmitting peer entity in response to receiving one or more polling requests, and if so, how and/or how often the status reports should be sent; and

an out

upon determining that the receiving peer entity should send status reports to the transmitting peer entity, sending status reports from the receiving peer entity to the transmitting peer entity in accordance with the second configurable set of rules;

wherein the first and second sets of rules are configurable and combinable to change whether the transmitting peer entity should send polling requests, and if so, how and/or how often the transmitting peer entity should send the polling requests, and whether the receiving peer entity should send status reports, and if so, how and/or how often the receiving peer entity should send the status reports.

#### 41. (Canceled)

42. (Previously Presented) In a communication network, a system for implementing a flexible link-layer protocol that enables transmission of data between a link-layer transmitting peer entity and a link-layer receiving peer entity, wherein the transmitting peer entity transmits a plurality of packet data units (PDUs) to the receiving peer entity, said system comprising:

means within the transmitting peer entity for selecting a first configurable set of rules governing whether the transmitting peer entity should send polling requests to the receiving peer entity, and if so, how and/or how often the polling requests should be sent;

signaling means in the transmitting peer entity for sending polling requests from the transmitting peer entity to the receiving peer entity in accordance with the first set of rules;

means within the receiving peer entity for selecting a second configurable set of rules governing whether the receiving peer entity should send status reports to the transmitting peer entity in response to receiving one or more polling requests, and if so, how and/or how often the status reports should be sent; and

signaling means in the receiving peer entity for sending a status report from the more receiving peer entity to the transmitting peer entity in accordance-with the second settor of the transmitting peer entity in accordance-with the second settor of the transmitting peer entity in accordance-with the second settor of the transmitting peer entity in accordance-with the second settor of the transmitting peer entity in accordance-with the second settor of the transmitting peer entity in accordance-with the second settor of the transmitting peer entity in accordance-with the second settor of the transmitting peer entity in accordance-with the second settor of the transmitting peer entity in accordance-with the second settor of the transmitting peer entity in accordance-with the second settor of the transmitting peer entity in accordance-with the second settor of the transmitting peer entity in accordance-with the second settor of the transmitting peer entity in accordance-with the second settor of the transmitting peer entity in accordance-with the second settor of the transmitting peer entity in accordance-with the second settor of the second settor of the transmitting peer entity in accordance with the second settor of the second

wherein the first and second sets of rules are configurable and combinable to change whether the transmitting peer entity should send polling requests, and if so, how and/or how often the transmitting peer entity should send the polling requests, and whether the receiving peer entity should send status reports, and if so, how and/or how often the receiving peer entity should send the status reports.

43-47. (Canceled)

# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

### **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
BLACK BORDERS
IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ DEFENDENCE (S) OF EVALUATION SUPPLYMENT A DE DOOR ON A VETT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY ☐ OTHER:
WINDER:

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.